arXiv:1212.6513v1 [math.NT] 28 Dec 2012

ON THE MEAN VALUE OF A KIND OF ZETA FUNCTIONS

KUI LIU

Abstract. Let $d_{\alpha,\beta}(n) = \sum\limits_{\substack{n=kl \\ \alpha l<k\le\beta l}} 1$ be the number of ways of factoring n into two almost equal integers. For rational numbers $0<\alpha<\beta$, we consider the following Zeta function $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s) = \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s}$ for $\Re s>1$. It has an analytic continuation to $\Re s > 1/3$. We get an asymptotic formula for the mean square of $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s)$ in the strip $1/2 < \Re s < 1$. As an application, we improve an result on the distribution of primitive Pythagorean triangles.

1. Introduction and main results

All through this paper, we always suppose $s=\sigma+it$ and $x\ge 2$. Let

$$d(n) = \sum_{n=kl} 1$$

be the classical divisor function and

$$D(n) = \sum_{n\le x} d(n)$$

be its summatory function. Dirichlet proved

$$D(x) = x(\log x + 2\gamma - 1) + \Delta(x), \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\left(\sum\limits_{k=1}^{n}\frac{1}{k} - \log n\right) \approx 0.5721\cdots$ is the Euler constant and

$$\Delta(x) \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Voronoi [13] improved Dirichlet's result to

$$\Delta(x) \ll x^{\frac{1}{3}}\log x.$$

It is conjectured that for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$\Delta(x) \ll_{\varepsilon} x^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}.$$

The best result to date is

$$\Delta(x) \ll x^{\frac{131}{416}}(\log x)^{\frac{26947}{8320}},$$

due to Huxley [5]. Let $\zeta(s)$ be the Riemann Zeta-function, then the generated function of $d(n)$ is

$$\zeta^2(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d(n)}{n^s}, \qquad \text{for } \sigma>1.$$

Hardy-Littlewood [6] considered the mean square of $\zeta^2(s)$

$$I_\sigma\left(T,\zeta^2\right) = \int_T^{2T} |\zeta(\sigma+it)|^4\,dt, \qquad \text{for } 1/2<\sigma<1,$$

Key words and phrases. Mean value, Zeta function, Voronoi formula, primitive Pythagorean triangles.

and proved

$$I_\sigma\left(T,\zeta^2\right)=\frac{\zeta^4\left(2\sigma\right)}{\zeta\left(4\sigma\right)}T+o\left(T\right). \tag{2}$$

Note that their proof is based on the approximation (for example, see Section 3 of [7])

$$\zeta^2\left(s\right)=\sum_{n\le x}\frac{d\left(n\right)}{n^s}+\chi^2\left(s\right)\sum_{n\le y}\frac{d\left(n\right)}{n^{1-s}}+O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}\log t\right),\qquad \text{for } 1/2<\sigma<1, \tag{3}$$

where $x,y\ge 2$, $4\pi^2xy=t^2$ and

$$\chi\left(s\right)=\frac{\left(2\pi\right)^s}{2\Gamma\left(s\right)\cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right)}$$

is the Γ-factor in the functional equation

$$\zeta\left(s\right)=\chi\left(s\right)\zeta\left(1-s\right). \tag{4}$$

In this paper, we focus on the following type divisor function given by

$$d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)=\sum_{\substack{n=kl\\ \alpha l<k\le\beta l}}1,$$

where α,β are fixed rational numbers satisfying $0<\alpha<\beta$. Define its generated Zeta function as

$$\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s},\qquad \text{for } \sigma>1.$$

We prove that $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s)$ has an analytic continuation to $\sigma>1/3$ and get an asymptotic formula for the mean square of $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s)$ in the strip $1/2<\sigma<1$.

Theorem 1. *For any $\frac{1}{2}<\sigma<1$ and rational numbers $0<\alpha<\beta$, there exists a constant $\varepsilon\left(\sigma\right)>0$ such that*

$$\int_T^{2T}\left|\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma+it)\right|^2dt=T\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}^2(n)}{n^{2\sigma}}+O_{\alpha,\beta,\sigma}\left(T^{1-\varepsilon(\sigma)}\right). \tag{5}$$

Theorem 1 can be used to study the distribution of primitive Pythagorean triangles (i.e. triples (a,b,c) with $a,b,c\in\mathbb{N}$, $a^2+b^2=c^2$, $a<b$ and $\gcd\left(a,b,c\right)=1$). Let $P(x)$ denote the number of primitive Pythagorean triangles with perimeter $a+b+c\le x$. D. H. Lehmer [9] proved

$$P\left(x\right)=\frac{\log 2}{\pi^2}x+O\left(x^{1/2}\log x\right).$$

It is difficult to reduce the exponents 1/2 in the error term, which depends on the zero-free region of the Riemann zeta function. However, assuming the Riemann Hypothesis, it was showed in [11] that, for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$P\left(x\right)=\frac{\log 2}{\pi^2}x+O_\varepsilon\left(x^{\frac{5805}{15408}+\varepsilon}\right). \tag{6}$$

We improve this result by applying Theorem 1 and get

Theorem 2. *If the Riemann Hypothesis is true, then for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have*

$$P\left(x\right)=\frac{\log 2}{\pi^2}x+O_\varepsilon\left(x^{\frac{4}{11}+\varepsilon}\right).$$

Note that $\frac{5805}{15408}=0.3767\cdots$ and $\frac{4}{11}=0.3636\cdots$.

2. Main steps in the proof of Theorem 1

First, Let's recall a way to get the asymptotic formula (2). In Chapters 3 of [7], using the functional equation (4), Ivic derive the Voronoi formula for the error term $\Delta(x)$ in (1). Then in Chapter 4 of [7], Ivic get the approximation (3) by the Voronoi formula, from which one can obtain (2) in a standard way.

Now observing that $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s)$ is similar to $\zeta^2(s)$, we can realize $\int_T^{2T} |\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma+it)|^2\,dt$ as an analogue of $\int_T^{2T} |\zeta(\sigma+it)|^4\,dt$. Our main steps in the proof of Theorem 1 similar to the proof of (2). In Section 4, we study the asymptotic property of the summatory function

$$D_{\alpha,\beta}(x)=\sum_{n\le x} d_{\alpha,\beta}(n). \tag{7}$$

In Section 5, we derive a Voronoi type formula for the error term

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x)=D_{\alpha,\beta}(x)-\text{Main terms}.$$

In Section 6, using the asymptotic formula of $D_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ and the Voronoi type formula for $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$, we obtain the following approximation for $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s)$, which is the key for the proof of Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. *The function $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s)$ can be analytically extended to the half plane $\sigma>\frac{1}{3}$ with simple poles at $s=\frac{1}{2},1$. Moreover, suppose $T\ge 2$, $s=\sigma+it$ and $4\pi^2xy=t^2$, then for any $\frac{1}{2}<\sigma<1$, $T<t\le 2T$ and $0<\alpha<\beta$, we have*

$$\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s)=\sum_{n\le x}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s}+\chi^2(s)\sum_{n\le y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^{s-1}}+E_{\alpha,\beta}(s), \tag{8}$$

where $\chi(s)$ is given by (4) and $E_{\alpha,\beta}(s)$ satisfies

$$\int_T^{2T}|E_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma+it)|^2\,dt \ll_{\alpha,\beta,\sigma}\left(x^{-2\sigma}T^2+x^{1-\sigma}T^{\frac{1}{2}}+x^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}T+x^{-\sigma}T^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)\log^3 T. \tag{9}$$

From (8), we can derive Theorem 1 in a standard way. Hence the main work of paper is to prove Proposition 1.

3. Priliminary lemmas

Denote the integral part of u by $[u]$. let $\psi(u)=u-[u]-\frac{1}{2}$ and $e(x)=e^{2\pi ix}$. It is well known that $\psi(u)$ has a truncated Fourier expansion (for example, see [4]).

Lemma 1. *For any real number $H>2$, we have*

$$\psi(u)=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{1\le|h|\le H}\frac{1}{h}e(hu)+O(G(u,H)),$$

where

$$G(u,H)=\min\left(1,\frac{1}{H\,||u||}\right). \tag{10}$$

We will use the first derivative test (for example, see Chapter 21 of [12]).

Lemma 2. *Let $G(x)$ and $F(x)$ be a real differentiable functions such that $\frac{F'(x)}{G(x)}$ is monotonic and $\frac{F'(x)}{G(x)}\ge m>0$ or $\frac{F'(x)}{G(x)}\le -m<0$. Then we have*

$$\left|\int_a^b G(x)e^{iF(x)}dx\right|\le 4m^{-1}.$$

We will also use the following Van der Corput B-process (see [10], Lemma 2.2).

Lemma 3. *Let $C_i, i=1,\cdots,7$ be absolute positive constants. Suppose that g is a real-valued function which has four continuous derivatives on the interval $[A,B]$. Let L and W be real parameters not less than 1, such that $C_1L \le B-A \le C_2L$,*

$$\left|g^{(j)}(\omega)\right| \le -C_{j+2}WL^{1-j}, \qquad \text{for } \omega\in[A,B],\ j=1,2,3,4,$$

and

$$g''(\omega) \ge C_7WL^{-1} \quad \text{or} \quad g''(\omega)\le -C_7WL^{-1}, \qquad \text{for } \ \omega\in[A,B].$$

Let ϕ denote the inverse function of g'. Define

$$\epsilon_f = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}, & \text{if } g''(\omega)>0 \quad \text{for } \ \omega\in[A,B], \\ e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}, & \text{if } g''(\omega)<0 \quad \text{for } \ \omega\in[A,B] \end{cases}$$

and

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } g'(x)\in\mathbb{Z}, \\ \min\left(\frac{1}{||g'(x)||}, \sqrt{\frac{L}{W}}\right), & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

with $||\cdot||$ denoting the distance from the nearest integer. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{A<l\le B} e(g(l)) &= \epsilon_f \sideset{}{''}\sum_{\min(g'(A),g'(B))\le k\le\max(g'(A),g'(B))} \frac{e(g(\phi(k))-k\phi(k))}{\sqrt{|g''(\phi(k))|}} \\ &\quad + O\left(r(A)+r(B)+\log(2+W)\right),\end{aligned}$$

with the notation

$$\sideset{}{''}\sum_{a\le m\le b}\Phi(n) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(a)\Phi(a)+\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(b)\Phi(b)\right) + \sum_{a<m<b}\Phi(n),$$

where $\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(\cdot)$ is the indicator function of the integers and the O-constant depends on the constants $C_i, i=1,\cdots,7$.

4. Asymptotic formula for the summatory function

Proposition 2. *Let $\alpha=\frac{p_1}{q_1}$ and $\beta=\frac{p_2}{q_2}$ with $p_1,p_2,q_1,q_2\in\mathbb{N}$, $\gcd(p_1,q_1)=1$ and $\gcd(q_1,q_2)=1$. We have*

$$D_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = c_1x + c_2\sqrt{x} + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x),$$

where

$$c_1 = c_1(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\log\alpha-\log\beta}{2}, \qquad c_2 = c_2(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{p_2q_2}} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{p_1q_1}}\right),$$

and

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = -\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\beta}}<l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{l}\right) + O_{\alpha,\beta}(1). \tag{11}$$

Proof. It is enough to consider $d_\alpha(n) = \sum\limits_{\substack{n=kl\\ k\le\alpha l}} 1$ and $D_\alpha(x) = \sum\limits_{n\le x} d_\alpha(n)$. Clearly,

$$D_\alpha(x) \;=\; \sum_{\substack{kl\le x\\ k\le\alpha l}} 1 = \sum_{l\le x}\sum_{k\le\min(x/l,\alpha l)} 1.$$

Write

$$D_\alpha(x) = \sum\nolimits_1 + \sum\nolimits_2, \tag{12}$$

with

$$\sum\nolimits_1 = \sum_{l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}}\sum_{k\le\alpha l} 1 \quad\text{and}\quad \sum\nolimits_2 = \sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le x}\sum_{k\le x/l} 1.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum\nolimits_1 &= \sum_{l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}}(\alpha l-\psi(\alpha l)-1/2)\\ &= \frac{x}{2}-\sqrt{\alpha x}\psi\left(\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}\right)-\sum_{l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}}\psi(\alpha l)-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}+O_\alpha(1). \qquad (13)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum\nolimits_2 &= \sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le x}(x/l-\psi(x/l)-1/2)\\ &= x\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le x}1/l-\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le x}\psi(x/l)-\frac{1}{2}x+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}+O(1). \qquad (14)\end{aligned}$$

By the Euler-Maclaurin summation, we have

$$\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le x}1/l = \frac{1}{2}\log x+\frac{1}{2}\log\alpha+\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{x}}\psi\left(\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}\right)+O_a\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \qquad (15)$$

Combining (12)-(15), we get

$$D_\alpha(x)=\frac{x}{2}\log x+\frac{\log\alpha}{2}x-\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le x}\psi(x/l)-\sum_{l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}}\psi(\alpha l)+O_\alpha(1).$$

Note that

$$-\sum_{l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}}\psi(\alpha l)=-\sum_{l\le\sqrt{\frac{q_1 x}{p_1}}}\psi\left(\frac{p_1 l}{q_1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{x}{p_1 q_1}}+O_\alpha(1).$$

Hence

$$D_\alpha(x)=\frac{x}{2}\log x+\frac{\log\alpha}{2}x-\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le x}\psi(x/l)+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{x}{p_1 q_1}}+O_\alpha(1). \qquad (16)$$

Similarly, for $d_\beta(n)=\sum_{\substack{n=kl\\ k\le\beta l}}1$ and $D_\beta(x)=\sum_{n\le x}d_\beta(n)$, we have

$$D_\beta(x)=\frac{x}{2}\log x+\frac{\log\beta}{2}x-\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\beta}}<l\le x}\psi(x/l)+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{x}{p_2 q_2}}+O_\beta(1). \qquad (17)$$

Now Proposition 2 follows from (16), (17) and

$$D_{\alpha,\beta}(x)=D_\beta(x)-D_\alpha(x).$$

□

Corollary 1. *We have*

$$D_{\alpha,\beta}(x)=c_1x+c_2\sqrt{x}+O_{\alpha,\beta}\left(x^{\frac{1}{3}}\right),$$

where c_1, c_2 are the same as Proposition 2.

Proof. This can be proved easily (even with a better upper bound for the error term) by applying Lemma 1 and exponential pairs (see [3]) to Proposition 2. ☐

5. A Voronoi type formula

Define

$$d_{\alpha,\beta}(n,H)=\sum_{\substack{1\le h\le H\\ n=hk}}\ \sideset{}{''}\sum_{h\alpha\le k\le h\beta}1,$$

where the notation $\sum''$ is the same as Lemma 3. Using the Van der Corput B-process and the same argument as Section 6.2 of [14], we can derive the following Voronoi type formula for $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$.

Lemma 4. *For any $H\ge 2$ and rational numbers $0<\alpha<\beta$, we have*

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x)=M_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)+E_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)+F_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H),$$

where

$$M_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)=\frac{x^{\frac14}}{\pi\sqrt2}\sum_{n\le\beta H^2}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n,H)}{n^{\frac34}}\cos\left(4\pi\sqrt{nx}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right), \tag{18}$$

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)\ll\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\beta}}}G\left(\frac{x}{l},H\right) \tag{19}$$

and

$$F_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)\ll_{\alpha,\beta}\log H. \tag{20}$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 1 to (11), we get

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{1\le|h|\le H}\frac1h\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\beta}}<l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}}e\left(\frac{hx}{l}\right)+E_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)+O_{\alpha,\beta}(1),$$

with

$$E_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)\ll\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\beta}}}G\left(\frac{x}{l},H\right). \tag{21}$$

Let

$$S_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{1\le h\le H}\frac1h\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\beta}}<l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}}e\left(\frac{hx}{l}\right), \tag{22}$$

then we can write

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(S_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)-\overline{S_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)}\right)+E_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)+O_{\alpha,\beta}(1). \tag{23}$$

To treat the inner sum

$$\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\beta}}<l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}}e\left(\frac{hx}{l}\right)\quad\text{for } 1\le h\le H$$

in (22), we apply Lemma 3. Let

$$A=\sqrt{\frac{x}{\beta}},\quad B=\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}\quad\text{and}\quad g(l)=\frac{hx}{l},$$

then we have

$$g'(l)=-\frac{hx}{l^2},\quad g''(l)=\frac{2hx}{l^3},\quad g^{(3)}(l)=-\frac{6hx}{l^4},\quad g^{(4)}(l)=\frac{24hx}{l^5},$$

$$g'(B)=-h\alpha,\quad g'(A)=-h\beta,\quad \frac{2\alpha^{\frac{3}{2}}h}{\sqrt{x}}<g''(l)\le\frac{2\beta^{\frac{3}{2}}h}{\sqrt{x}}$$

and

$$|g'''(l)|\ll_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{h}{x}.$$

Hence we can take

$$W=1,\quad L=\frac{\sqrt{x}}{h},\quad \phi(k)=\sqrt{-\frac{hx}{k}},$$

$$g(\phi(k))-k\phi(k)=2\sqrt{-hkx},\quad\text{and}\quad g''(\phi(k))=2\sqrt{\frac{(-k)^3}{hx}}.$$

Noting α,β are rational numbers, we have

$$r(A),r(B)\ll_{\alpha,\beta}1. \tag{24}$$

Now for $1\le h\le H$, by Lemma 3, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le\sqrt{\frac{x}{\beta}}}e\left(\frac{hx}{l}\right)&=\frac{e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}}{\sqrt{2}}\sideset{}{''}\sum_{-h\beta\le k\le-h\alpha}\frac{h^{\frac14}x^{\frac14}}{(-k)^{\frac34}}e\left(2\sqrt{-hkx}\right)+O_{\alpha,\beta}(1)\\&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sideset{}{''}\sum_{h\alpha\le k\le h\beta}\frac{h^{\frac14}x^{\frac14}}{k^{\frac34}}e\left(2\sqrt{hkx}+\frac18\right)+O_{\alpha,\beta}(1).\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

Inserting (25) to (22) gives

$$\begin{aligned}S_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)&=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{1\le h\le H}\frac{1}{h}\sideset{}{''}\sum_{h\alpha\le k\le h\beta}\frac{h^{\frac14}x^{\frac14}}{k^{\frac34}}e\left(2\sqrt{hkx}+\frac18\right)+O_{\alpha,\beta}(\log H)\\&=\frac{x^{\frac14}}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{1\le h\le H}\sideset{}{''}\sum_{h\alpha\le k\le h\beta}\frac{1}{(hk)^{\frac34}}e\left(2\sqrt{hkx}+\frac18\right)+O_{\alpha,\beta}(\log H)\\&=\frac{x^{\frac14}}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\le\beta H^2}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n,H)}{n^{\frac34}}e\left(2\sqrt{nx}+\frac18\right)+O_{\alpha,\beta}(\log H).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(S_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)-\overline{S_{\alpha,\beta}(x,H)}\right)=\frac{x^{\frac14}}{\pi\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\le\beta H^2}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n,H)}{n^{\frac34}}\cos\left(4\pi\sqrt{nx}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)+O_{\alpha,\beta}(\log H).$$

This combining with (23) and (21) yields Lemma 4. ☐

Remark 1. The bound (20) is important in the proof of Theorem 1. If α,β are not rational numbers, the author can't get the estimate (20). Because in this case the estimate (24) does not holds.

6. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

First, let's show that $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s)$ can be analyticly extended to $\sigma > \frac{1}{3}$. For $\sigma > 1$ and any $N \geq 1$, write

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s) &= \sum_{n\leq N}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s} + \sum_{n>N}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s}\\ &= \sum_{n\leq N}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s} + \int_{N+}^{\infty} u^{-s} dD_{\alpha,\beta}(u),\end{aligned}$$

where $D_{\alpha,\beta}(u)$ is defined by (7). Applying Proposition 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s) &= \sum_{n\leq N}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s} + \int_{N+}^{\infty} u^{-s} d\left(c_1 u + c_2\sqrt{u} + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(u)\right)\\ &= \sum_{n\leq N}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s} + c_1\int_{N+}^{\infty} u^{-s}du + \frac{c_2}{2}\int_{N+}^{\infty} u^{-s-1/2}du + \int_{N+}^{\infty} u^{-s}d\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(u).\end{aligned}$$

By partial integration, we have

$$\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s) = \sum_{n\leq N}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s} - \frac{c_1 N^{1-s}}{1-s} - \frac{c_2 N^{\frac{1}{2}-s}}{1-2s} + s\int_{N+}^{\infty}\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(u)u^{-s-1}du + O\left(N^{\frac{1}{3}-\sigma}\right). \tag{26}$$

From Corollary 1, we can see that the integral in (26) is absolutely convergent for $\sigma > \frac{1}{3}$, hence (26) gives an analytic continuation of $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s)$ for $\sigma > \frac{1}{3}$. This proves the first assertion of Proposition 1.

Now suppose $\sigma > \frac{1}{3}$ and $2 \leq T < t \leq 2T$. From now on, we take $N = T^A$ with $A > 0$ being a constant, sufficiently large. Break the sum in (26) into

$$\sum_{n\leq N}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n\leq x}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s} + \sum_{x<n\leq N}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s}. \tag{27}$$

For the second sum, applying Proposition 2 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x<n\leq N}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s} &= \int_x^N u^{-s}dD_{\alpha,\beta}(u)\\ &= \int_x^N u^{-s}d\left(c_1(\alpha,\beta)\,u + c_2(\alpha,\beta)\sqrt{u} + \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(u)\right).\end{aligned}$$

By partial integration, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{x<n\leq N}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s} &= c_1(\alpha,\beta)\left(\frac{N^{1-s}}{1-s} - \frac{x^{1-s}}{1-s}\right) + c_2(\alpha,\beta)\left(\frac{N^{1/2-s}}{1-2s} - \frac{x^{1/2-s}}{1-2s}\right)\\ &+ N^{-s}\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(N) - x^{-s}\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x) + s\int_x^N \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(u)u^{-s-1}du.\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Combining (26), (27) and (28), we get

$$\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}(s) = \sum_{n\leq x}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)}{n^s} + s\int_x^N \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(u)u^{-s-1}du + O_{\alpha,\beta,\sigma}\left(x^{1-\sigma}t^{-1} + x^{1/3-\sigma}\right) \tag{29}$$

holds for any $\sigma > \frac{1}{3}$.

Our tool to prove Proposition 1 is the Voronoi formula for $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$. Using Lemma 4, we can write

$$s\int_x^N \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(u)u^{-s-1}du = \mathfrak{M}\left(s\right)+\mathfrak{E}\left(s\right)+\mathfrak{F}\left(s\right), \tag{30}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{M}\left(s\right)=\mathfrak{M}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(s,H,x,N\right)=s\int_x^N M_{\alpha,\beta}\left(u,H\right)u^{-s-1}du, \tag{31}$$

$$\mathfrak{E}\left(s\right)=\mathfrak{E}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(s,H,x,N\right)=s\int_x^N E_{\alpha,\beta}\left(u,H\right)u^{-s-1}du, \tag{32}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{F}\left(s\right)=\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha,\beta}\left(s,H,x,N\right)=s\int_x^N F_{\alpha,\beta}\left(u,H\right)u^{-s-1}du. \tag{33}$$

In Section 7, we will show that the upper bound of $\mathfrak{E}\left(s\right)$ is small, when H is large comparing to N and the mean square of $\mathfrak{F}\left(s\right)$ has an acceptable estimate; see Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, respectively. In Section 8, we will pick out the second term in (8) from $\mathfrak{M}(s)$; see Lemma 8, Combining (29) with Lemmas 6-8, we get Proposition 1.

7. An upper bound and a mean square estimate

To bound $\mathfrak{E}\left(s\right)$, we need the following mean value estimate for $G\left(u,H\right)$ defined by (10).

Lemma 5. *For any $N\geq 1$ and $H\geq 2$, we have*

$$\int_0^N G\left(u,H\right)du \ll \frac{N\log H}{H}.$$

Proof. Note that $G\left(u,H\right)$ is a positive peridodic function with period 1, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^N G\left(u,H\right)du &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{[N]}\int_k^{k+1} G\left(u,H\right)du\\ &\ll N\int_0^1 G\left(u,H\right)du\\ &= N\int_{-1/2}^{1/2}\min\left(1,\frac{1}{H\left|\left|u\right|\right|}\right)du.\end{aligned}$$

Noting $||u||=|u|$ for $u\in[-1/2,1/2]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^N G\left(u,H\right)du &\ll N\int_{-1/2}^{1/2}\min\left(1,\frac{1}{H\left|u\right|}\right)du\\ &\ll N\int_0^{1/2}\min\left(1,\frac{1}{Hu}\right)du\\ &\ll N\int_0^{1/H}du+\frac{N}{H}\int_{1/H}^{1/2}\frac{1}{u}du,\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\int_0^N G\left(u,H\right)du \ll \frac{N\log H}{H}.$$

□

By Lemma 5, we can get

Lemma 6. *For any $\sigma > 1/2$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{E}(s) \ll \frac{tx^{-\sigma-1}N^2\log H}{H}.$$

Proof. By (32) and trivial estimates, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{E}(s) &\ll t\int_x^N \sum_{\sqrt{\frac{u}{\alpha}}<l\le\sqrt{\frac{u}{\beta}}} G\left(\frac{u}{l},H\right)u^{-\sigma-1}du\\
&\ll tx^{-\sigma-1}\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le\sqrt{\frac{N}{\beta}}}\int_x^N G\left(\frac{u}{l},H\right)du\\
&= tx^{-\sigma-1}\sum_{\sqrt{\frac{x}{\alpha}}<l\le\sqrt{\frac{N}{\beta}}} l\int_{\frac{x}{l}}^{\frac{N}{l}} G(u,H)\,du.
\end{aligned}$$

This combining with Lemma 5 yields

$$\mathfrak{E}(s) \ll tx^{-\sigma-1}\sum_{l\le\sqrt{\frac{N}{\beta}}} l\int_0^N G(u,H)\,du \ll \frac{tx^{-\sigma-1}N^2\log H}{H}.$$

□

Now we consider the mean square of $\mathfrak{F}(s)$.

Lemma 7. *For $\sigma > 1/2$, we have*

$$\int_T^{2T}|\mathfrak{F}(s)|^2\,dt \ll_{\alpha,\beta,\sigma} x^{-2\sigma}T^2\log^2 H\log N.$$

Proof. Noting $F_{\alpha,\beta}(u) \ll_{\alpha,\beta} \log H$ and unfolding the square in the integral, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_T^{2T}|\mathfrak{F}(s)|^2\,dt &\ll T^2\int_T^{2T}\left|\int_x^N F_{\alpha,\beta}(u)\,u^{-s-1}du\right|^2 dt\\
&\ll_{\alpha,\beta} T^2\log^2 H\int_x^N\int_x^N (u_1u_2)^{-\sigma-1}\left|\int_T^{2T}\left(\frac{u_2}{u_1}\right)^{it}dt\right|du_1du_2
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2 to the above integral over t, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_T^{2T}|\mathfrak{F}(s)|^2\,dt &\ll_{\alpha,\beta} T^2\log^2 H\int_x^N\int_x^N (u_1u_2)^{-\sigma-1}\min\left(T,\frac{1}{\left|\log\frac{u_2}{u_1}\right|}\right)du_1du_2\\
&\ll_{\alpha,\beta} T^2\log^2 H\int_x^N\int_{u_1}^N (u_1u_2)^{-\sigma-1}\min\left(T,\frac{1}{\log\frac{u_2}{u_1}}\right)du_1du_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Write this as

$$\int_T^{2T}|\mathfrak{F}(s)|^2\,dt \ll_{\alpha,\beta} \int_1 + \int_2 + \int_3, \tag{34}$$

where

$$\int_1 = T^3\log^2 H\int_x^N u_1^{-\sigma-1}\int_{u_1}^{e^{\frac{1}{T}}u_1} u_2^{-\sigma-1}du_2du_1,$$

$$\int_2 = T^2\log^2 H\int_x^N u_1^{-\sigma-1}\int_{e^{\frac{1}{T}}u_1}^{\frac{3}{2}u_1} u_2^{-\sigma-1}\frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{u_2}{u_1}\right)}du_2du_1$$

and

$$\int_3 = T^2\log^2 H\int_x^N u_1^{-\sigma-1}\int_{\frac{3}{2}u_1}^N u_2^{-\sigma-1}\frac{1}{\log\frac{u_2}{u_1}}du_2du_1.$$

Let's deal with $\int_i$, $i=1,2,3$ respectively. For $\int_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_1 &\ll T^3\log^2 H\int_x^N u_1^{-2\sigma-2}\int_{u_1}^{e^{\frac{1}{T}}u_1}du_2du_1\\ &\ll T^3\log^2 H\int_x^N u_1^{-2\sigma-2}\left(e^{\frac{1}{T}}u_1-u_1\right)du_1\\ &\ll T^3\left(e^{\frac{1}{T}}-1\right)\log^2 H\int_x^N u_1^{-2\sigma-1}du_1,\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\int_1 \ll_\sigma x^{-2\sigma}T^2\log^2 H. \tag{35}$$

For $\int_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_2 &= T^2\log^2 H\int_x^N u_1^{-\sigma-1}\int_{e^{\frac{1}{T}}u_1}^{\frac{3}{2}u_1}u_2^{-\sigma-1}\frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{u_2}{u_1}\right)}du_2du_1\\ &= T^2\log^2 H\int_x^N u_1^{-\sigma-1}\int_{e^{\frac{1}{T}}u_1}^{\frac{3}{2}u_1}u_2^{-\sigma-1}\frac{1}{\log\left(1+\frac{u_2-u_1}{u_1}\right)}du_2du_1\\ &\ll T^2\log^2 H\int_x^N u_1^{-2\sigma-1}\int_{e^{\frac{1}{T}}u_1}^{\frac{3}{2}u_1}\frac{1}{u_2-u_1}du_2du_1\\ &\ll T^2\log^2 H\int_x^N u_1^{-2\sigma-1}\log u_1du_1,\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\int_2 \ll_\sigma x^{-2\sigma}T^2\log^2 H\log N. \tag{36}$$

For $\int_3$, we have

$$\int_3 \ll T^2\log^2 H\left(\int_x^N u^{-\sigma-1}du\right)^2 \ll_\sigma x^{-2\sigma}T^2\log^2 H. \tag{37}$$

From (34)-(37), we get Lemma 7. ☐

8. Picking out the second term in Proposition 1

The second term in Proposition 1 is hidden in $\mathfrak{M}(s)$. In this Section, we will pick it out and prove

Lemma 8. *For $\sigma>1/2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}(s) &= \chi^2(s)\sum_{n\le x}d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)n^{s-1}\\ &\quad+O\left(t^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{1-\sigma}\log H+x^{1/2-\sigma}\log H+x^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}\log t+x^{-\sigma}t^{\frac{1}{2}}\log t\right).\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

The idea of the proof for Lemma 8 comes from Chapter 4 of [7]. By (31) and (18), we have

$$\mathfrak{M}(s)=\frac{s}{\pi\sqrt{2}}\int_x^N u^{-s-\frac{3}{4}}\sum_{n\le\beta H^2}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n,H)}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}\cos\left(4\pi\sqrt{nu}-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)du.$$

Let $\eta>0$ be a fixed, sufficiently small constant. Using $\cos z=\frac{e^{iz}+e^{-iz}}{2}$, we can write

$$\mathfrak{M}(s)=\mathfrak{M}_1(s)+\mathfrak{M}_2(s)+\mathfrak{M}_3(s)+\mathfrak{M}_4(s) \tag{39}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{M}_1(s)=\frac{s}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\int_x^N u^{-s-\frac{3}{4}}\sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n,H)}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}e\left(2\sqrt{nu}-\frac{1}{8}\right)du,$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_2(s)=\frac{s}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\int_x^N u^{-s-\frac{3}{4}}\sum_{(1+\eta)y<n\le\beta H^2}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n,H)}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}e\left(2\sqrt{nu}-\frac{1}{8}\right)du,$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_3(s)=\frac{s}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\int_x^N u^{-s-\frac{3}{4}}\sum_{n\le y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n,H)}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}e\left(-2\sqrt{nu}+\frac{1}{8}\right)du$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}_4(s)=\frac{s}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\int_x^N u^{-s-\frac{3}{4}}\sum_{y<n\le\beta H^2}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n,H)}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}e\left(-2\sqrt{nu}+\frac{1}{8}\right)du.$$

We will bound $\mathfrak{M}_2(s)$, $\mathfrak{M}_3(s)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_4(s)$ in the following Lemmas 9-11 and pick out the first term on the right side of (38) in Lemma 12. From Lemmas 9-12 and (39).

Lemma 9. *For $\sigma>1/2$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{M}_2(s)\ll t^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{1-\sigma}\log H.$$

Proof. Write

$$\mathfrak{M}_2(s)=\frac{s}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\sum_{(1+\eta)y<n\le\beta H^2}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}\int_x^N u^{-\sigma-3/4}e\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\log u+2\sqrt{nu}-1/8\right)du.$$

In Lemma 2, taking

$$G(u)=u^{-\sigma-3/4}$$

and

$$F_t(u)=-\frac{t}{2\pi}\log u+2\sqrt{nu}-1/8,$$

we have

$$F_t'(u)=-\frac{t}{2\pi u}+\sqrt{\frac{n}{u}}$$

and

$$\frac{F_t'(u)}{G(u)}=-\frac{t}{2\pi}u^{\sigma-1/4}+\sqrt{n}u^{\sigma+1/4}.$$

Since $n>(1+\eta)y,\ u>x$ and $4\pi^2xy=t^2$, then

$$\left(\frac{F_t'(u)}{G(u)}\right)'=-(\sigma-1/4)\frac{t}{2\pi}u^{\sigma-5/4}+(\sigma+1/4)\sqrt{n}u^{\sigma-3/4}>0.$$

Thus $\frac{F'(u)}{G(u)}$ is monotonic and

$$\frac{F_t'(u)}{G(u)}\quad=\quad-\left(\frac{t^2}{4\pi^2nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{n}u^{\sigma+1/4}+\sqrt{n}u^{\sigma+1/4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq -\left(\frac{t^2}{4\pi^2(1+\eta)yx}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{n}x^{\sigma+1/4}+\sqrt{n}x^{\sigma+1/4}\\
&\geq \left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\eta}}\right)\sqrt{n}x^{\sigma+1/4}\\
&\gg \sqrt{n}x^{\sigma+1/4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence Lemma 2 gives

$$\int_x^N u^{-\sigma-3/4}e\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\log u-\sqrt{nu}+1/8\right)\ll x^{-\sigma-1/4}n^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{M}_2(s) &\ll x^{-\sigma+3/4}\sum_{(1+\eta)y<n\leq\beta H^2}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{5/4}}\\
&\ll t^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{1-\sigma}\log H.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 10. *For $\sigma>1/2$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{M}_3(s)\ll_\sigma\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}+x^{-\sigma}t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\log t.$$

Proof. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{M}_3(s) &= \frac{s}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\int_x^N u^{-s-\frac{3}{4}}\sum_{n\leq y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n,H)}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}}e\left(-2\sqrt{nu}+1/8\right)du\\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\int_x^N(-s+1/4)\sum_{n\leq y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}e\left(-2\sqrt{nu}+1/8\right)u^{-s-3/4}du\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2}}\int_x^N\sum_{n\leq y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}e\left(-2\sqrt{nu}+1/8\right)u^{-s-3/4}du\\
&= -\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\leq y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}\int_x^N e\left(-2\sqrt{nu}+1/8\right)du^{-s+\frac{1}{4}}\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\leq y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}\int_x^N e\left(-2\sqrt{nu}+1/8\right)u^{-s-3/4}du.
\end{aligned}$$

By partial integration, we have

$$\mathfrak{M}_3(s)=\mathfrak{M}_{31}(s)+\mathfrak{M}_{32}(s)+\mathfrak{M}_{33}(s)+\mathfrak{M}_{34}(s)\tag{40}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{M}_{31}(s)=-\frac{N^{-s+1/4}}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\leq y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}e\left(-2\sqrt{nN}+1/8\right),$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_{32}(s)=\frac{x^{-s+1/4}}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\leq y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}e\left(-2\sqrt{nx}+1/8\right),$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_{33}(s)=-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\leq y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{1/4}}\int_x^N u^{-\sigma-1/4}e\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\log u-2\sqrt{nu}-1/8\right)du$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}_{34}(s)=\frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\le y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}\int_x^N e\left(-2\sqrt{nu}-1/8\right)u^{-s-3/4}du.$$

Using $d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)\le d(n)$ and trivial estimates, it is easy to get

$$\mathfrak{M}_{31}(s),\mathfrak{M}_{32}(s)\ll_\sigma x^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}\log t \tag{41}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}_{34}(s)\ll_\sigma x^{-\sigma+\frac{1}{4}}y^{\frac{1}{4}}\log y\ll x^{-\sigma}t^{\frac{1}{2}}\log t. \tag{42}$$

Now we deal with $\mathfrak{M}_{33}(s)$. In Lemma 2, let

$$H(u)=1,G(u)=u^{-\sigma-1/4}\quad\text{and}\quad F_t(u)=-\frac{t}{2\pi}\log u-2\sqrt{nu}-1/8,$$

then we have

$$F_t'(u)=-\frac{t}{2\pi u}-\sqrt{\frac{n}{u}}$$

and

$$\frac{F_t'(u)}{G(u)}=-\frac{t}{2\pi}u^{\sigma-\frac{3}{4}}-\sqrt{n}u^{\sigma-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Obviously,

$$\frac{F_t'(u)}{G(u)}<-\sqrt{n}u^{\sigma-\frac{1}{4}}\le-\sqrt{n}x^{\sigma-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Noting

$$\left(\frac{F_t'(u)}{G(u)}\right)'=-\left(\sigma-\frac{3}{4}\right)\frac{t}{2\pi}u^{\sigma-\frac{7}{4}}-\left(\sigma-\frac{1}{4}\right)\sqrt{n}u^{\sigma-\frac{5}{4}},$$

let $u_0=\frac{(3/4-\sigma)t}{(\sigma-1/4)2\pi\sqrt{n}}$ be the root of $\left(\frac{F_t'(u)}{G(u)}\right)'=0$. If $u_0\in[x,N]$, then $\frac{F_t'(u)}{G(u)}$ is monotonic in $[x,u_0]$ and $[u_0,N]$ respectively, otherwise $\frac{F_t'(u)}{G(u)}$ is monotonic in $[x,N]$. In either case, Lemma 2 is valid and gives

$$\int_x^N u^{-\sigma-1/4}e\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\log u-2\sqrt{nu}-1/8\right)\ll n^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma},$$

which yields

$$\mathfrak{M}_{33}(s)\ll\sum_{n\le y}\frac{d(n)}{n^{1/4}}n^{-\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma}\ll x^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma}y^{1/4}\log y\ll x^{-\sigma}t^{\frac{1}{2}}\log t. \tag{43}$$

Then Lemma 10 follows from collecting (40)-(43). ☐

Lemma 11. *For $\sigma>1/2$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{M}_4(s)\ll x^{1/2-\sigma}\log H.$$

Proof. Write

$$\mathfrak{M}_4(s)=\frac{s}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\sum_{y<n\le\beta H^2}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}\int_x^N u^{-\sigma-3/4}e\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\log u-2\sqrt{nu}+1/8\right)du$$

In Lemma 2, taking

$$G(u)=u^{-\sigma-3/4}$$

and

$$F_t(u)=-\frac{t}{2\pi}\log u-2\sqrt{nu}+1/8,$$

we have

$$F_t'(u) = -\frac{t}{2\pi u} - \sqrt{\frac{n}{u}}$$

and

$$\frac{F_t'(u)}{G(u)} = -\frac{t}{2\pi} u^{\sigma-1/4} - \sqrt{n} u^{\sigma+1/4}.$$

Thus $\frac{F'(u)}{G(u)}$ is monotonic and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_t'(u)}{G(u)} &= -\frac{t}{2\pi} u^{\sigma-1/4} - \sqrt{n} u^{\sigma+1/4} \\ &< -\sqrt{n} x^{\sigma+1/4} \end{aligned}$$

Hence Lemma 2 gives

$$\int_x^N u^{-\sigma-3/4} e\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\log u - \sqrt{nu} + 1/8\right) \ll x^{-\sigma-1/4} n^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

which yields

$$\mathfrak{M}_4(s) \ll x^{-\sigma+3/4} \sum_{y<n\le\beta H^2} \frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{5/4}} \ll x^{1/2-\sigma}\log H.$$

□

Lemma 12. *For* $\sigma > 1/2$, *we have*

$$\mathfrak{M}_1(s) = \chi^2(s) \sum_{n\le y} d_{\alpha,\beta}(n) n^{s-1} + O\left(x^{1/2-\sigma}\log t\right).$$

Proof. Similar to the the proof of Lemma 10, we rewrite $\mathfrak{M}_1(s)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_1(s) &= \frac{s}{2\pi\sqrt{2}} \int_x^N u^{-s-\frac{3}{4}} \sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y} \frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n,H)}{n^{\frac{3}{4}}} e\left(2\sqrt{nu} - 1/8\right) du \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}} \int_x^N (-s+1/4) \sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y} \frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}} e\left(2\sqrt{nu} - 1/8\right) u^{-s-3/4} du \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2}} \int_x^N \sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y} \frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}} e\left(2\sqrt{nu} - 1/8\right) u^{-s-3/4} du \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y} \frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}} \int_x^N e\left(2\sqrt{nu} - 1/8\right) du^{-s+\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y} \frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}} \int_x^N e\left(2\sqrt{nu} - 1/8\right) u^{-s-3/4} du. \end{aligned}$$

By partial integration, we have

$$\mathfrak{M}_1(s) = \mathfrak{M}_{11}(s) + \mathfrak{M}_{12}(s) + \mathfrak{M}_{13}(s) + \mathfrak{M}_{14}(s), \tag{44}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{M}_{11}(s) = -\frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}} \sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y} d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H) n^{-\frac{1}{4}} I_n$$

with

$$I_n = \int_x^N u^{-\sigma-\frac{1}{4}} e\left(-\frac{t}{2\pi}\log u + 2\sqrt{nu} - \frac{1}{8}\right) du,$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_{12}(s)=-\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}e\left(2\sqrt{nN}-1/8\right)N^{-s+\frac{1}{4}},$$

$$\mathfrak{M}_{13}(s)=\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}e\left(2\sqrt{nx}-1/8\right)x^{-s+\frac{1}{4}}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{M}_{14}(s)=\frac{1}{8\pi\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y}\frac{d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)}{n^{3/4}}\int_x^N e\left(2\sqrt{nu}-1/8\right)u^{-s-3/4}du.$$

Note that $\eta>0$ is a fixed, sufficiently small constant, then by $d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)\le d(n)$ and trivial estimates, we get

$$\mathfrak{M}_{12}(s),\mathfrak{M}_{13}(s),\mathfrak{M}_{14}(s)\ll_\sigma x^{\frac{1}{4}-\sigma}y^{\frac{1}{4}}\log y\ll_\sigma x^{-\sigma}t^{\frac{1}{2}}\log t. \tag{45}$$

Now only $\mathfrak{M}_{11}(s)$ is left. In Chapter 4 of [7] (Page 108-110), Ivic discussed I_n and showed

$$-\frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y}d(n)n^{-\frac{1}{4}}I_n=\chi^2(s)\sum_{n\le y}d(n)n^{s-1}+O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}\log t\right),$$

where $\chi(s)$ is given by (4). Replacing $d(n)$ by $d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)$, the same argument is also valid, which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}_{11}(s)&=-\frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}}\sum_{n\le(1+\eta)y}d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)n^{-\frac{1}{4}}I_n\\&=\chi^2(s)\sum_{n\le y}d_{\alpha,\beta}(n;H)n^{s-1}+O\left(x^{1/2-\sigma}\log t\right).\end{aligned}$$

Take $H=T^B$ with $B>3A>0$ being a constant, sufficiently large, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{M}_{11}(s)&=\chi^2(s)\sum_{n\le y}\left(\sum_{\substack{1\le h\le H\\ n=hk}}\ \sideset{}{''}\sum_{h\alpha\le k\le h\beta}1\right)n^{s-1}+O\left(x^{1/2-\sigma}\log t\right)\\&=\chi^2(s)\sum_{n\le y}\left(\sum_{\substack{1\le h\le H\\ n=hk}}\ \sum_{h\alpha\le k\le h\beta}1\right)n^{s-1}+O\left(|\chi(s)|^2\sum_{h\ll\sqrt{y}}h^{2\sigma-2}\right)\\&\quad+O\left(x^{1/2-\sigma}\log T\right)\\&=\chi^2(s)\sum_{n\le y}d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)n^{s-1}+O\left(t^{1-2\sigma}y^{\sigma-1/2}+x^{1/2-\sigma}\log T\right)\\&=\chi^2(s)\sum_{n\le y}d_{\alpha,\beta}(n)n^{s-1}+O\left(x^{1/2-\sigma}\log T\right),\end{aligned}\tag{46}$$

where we used

$$\chi(\sigma+it)=\left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{\sigma+it-\frac{1}{2}}e^{i\left(t+\frac{\pi}{4}\right)}\left(1+O\left(t^{-1}\right)\right),\quad \text{for } t\ge 2. \tag{47}$$

Combining (44)-(46) gives Lemma 12. $\square$

9. Out line for the proof of Theorem 2

A primitive Pythagorean triangle is a triple (a, b, c) of natural numbers with $a^2 + b^2 = c^2, a < b$ and $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$. Let $P(x)$ denote the number of primitive Pythagorean triangles with perimeter less than x. D. H. Lehmer [9] showed

$$P(x) = \frac{\log 2}{\pi^2} x + O\left(x^{1/2} \log x\right)$$

which was revisited by J. Lambek and L. Moser in [8]. The exponents $1/2$ in the error term can not be reduced because the current technique depends on the best zero-free regions of the Riemann zeta function, which hard to be improved. In [11], the author showed if Riemann Hypothesis (RH) is true, then (6) holds. Let

$$r(n) = \sum_{\substack{2d^2+2dl=n \\ l<d}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{2dl=n \\ d<l<2d}} 1$$

and

$$Z(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r(n)}{n^s}, \text{ for } \Re s > 1.$$

We can prove that $Z(s)$ has an analytic continuation to $\sigma > 1/3$ and has two simple poles at $s = 1, \frac{1}{2}$. The exponent $\frac{5805}{15408}$ in (6) depends on the estimate of the following type exponential sum

$$\sum_{m \sim M} \mu(m) \sum_{n \sim N} a_n e\left(\frac{c x^{\frac{1}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{m}\right) \tag{48}$$

with $a_n \ll 1$ and c being a constant. Here the ranges of M, N are determined by the smallest σ such that

$$\int_T^{2T} |Z(\sigma + it)| \, dt \ll_{\sigma,\varepsilon} T^{1+\varepsilon} \tag{49}$$

holds for any $\varepsilon > 0$. In [11], the author showed $\sigma > \frac{1064}{1644} = 0.6472\cdots$ is admissible. Then by estimating the exponential sum (48) for $M \leq x^{\frac{651}{1926}}$, $N \leq x^{\frac{3798}{15408}}$, we get (6). In the review of [11], R. C. Baker mentioned that using the method in his paper [2], it is possible to prove $\sigma > \frac{3}{5} = 0.6$, which implies an improvement of (6). Now by Theorem 1, we have (49) holds for any $\sigma > \frac{1}{2}$, which forces us to deal with exponential sum (48) for $M, N \leq x^{\frac{1}{4}+\varepsilon}$. However, the estimate in this range has been investigated carefully by R. C. Baker in [1], which yields Theorem 2.

References

[1] R. C. Baker, The square-free divisor problem II, *Quart. J. Math.* (Oxford)(2). 47 (1996), 133-146.

[2] R. C. Baker, Primitive lattice points in planar domains, *Acta Arith.* 142 (2010), 267-302

[3] S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, *Van der Corput's method of exponential sums,* (London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 126).

[4] D. R. Heath-Brown,The Piatetski-Shapiro prime theorem, *J. of Number theory*, Vol. 16(1983), 242-266.

[5] M. N. Huxley, Exponential sums and Lattices points III, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* Vol. 87 (3) (2003), 591-609.

[6] G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, The approximate functional equation in the theory of the zeta-function, with applications to the divisor-problems of Dirichlet and Piltz, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) 21 (1923) 39-74.

[7] A.Ivic, *The Riemann Zeta-Function,* Wiley (1985).

[8] J. Lambek and L. Moser, On the distribution of Pythagorean triangles, *Pacific J. Math.* 5 (1955), 73-83.

[9] D. H. Lehmer, A conjecture of Krishnaswami, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 54 (1948), 1185-1190

[10] M. Kuhleitner and W. G. Nowak, The asymptotic behaviour of the mean-square of fractional part sums, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* 43 (2000), 309-323.

[11] Kui Liu, On the distribution of primitive Pythagorean triangles, *Acta Arith.* 144 (2010), 135-150.

[12] C. D. Pan and C. B. Pan, *Foundations of the Analytic Number Theory*, Science Press, Beijing, 1991 (in Chinese).

[13] G. F. Voronoi, Sur une fonction transcendante et ses applications a la sommation de quelques series. *Ann Ecole Normale,* 21: 207C268; 21: 459C534

[14] W. G. Zhai, On the error term in Weyls law for the Heisenberg , *Acta Arith.* 134. 3 (2008), 219-257 Acta Arith.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QINGDAO UNIVERSITY, QINGDAO 266071, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: `email: liukui@qdu.edu.cn`